

Research Article

Dennis Wenzel, Michael Nestler, Sebastian Reuther, Maximilian Simon and Axel Voigt*

Defects in Active Nematics – Algorithms for Identification and Tracking

<https://doi.org/10.1515/cmam-2020-0021>

Received February 23, 2020; revised December 18, 2020; accepted January 31, 2021

Abstract: The growing interest in active nematics and the emerging evidence of the relevance of topological defects in biology asks for reliable data analysis tools to identify, classify and track such defects in simulation and microscopy data. We here provide such tools and demonstrate on two examples, on an active turbulent state in an active nematodynamic model and on emerging nematic order in a multi-phase field model, the possibility to compare statistical data on defect velocities with experimental results. The considered tools, which are physics based and data driven, are compared with each other.

Keywords: Active Liquid Crystals, Tensor Fields, Topological Defects, Neural Networks

MSC 2010: 74L15, 76T30, 70K25

1 Introduction

Over the last years several theoretical and experimental model systems have been developed to study the collective behaviour of active matter. These are systems which extract energy from their surroundings at the single unit level and transform it into mechanical work, see [15, 16, 25, 32] for general reviews. One well-studied unit are rod-shaped particles, which include, for example, elongated bacteria and filamentous particles inside living cells. These active matter systems bear a resemblance to nematic liquid crystals, systems which are characterized by long-range orientational order. Similar nematic ordering has also been found in epithelia tissue, where fairly isotropic living cells align to each other. For both types of these natural materials current developments to gain a better understanding of the mechanisms at work are thus based on established theories for liquid crystals. We refer to [11] for a current review on active nematics. Just like liquid crystals these active materials also show topological defects. These are regions where the nematic order is lost in order to minimize stresses. In 2D, defects are characterized by a topological charge (in the mathematics community also often called the winding number), i.e., the angle by which the orientational direction rotates around the defect, divided by 2π . This quantity is additive, conserved, and determined by the topology of the confinement. Energetically most favorable defects in nematic liquid crystals are $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ defects.

The main difference with liquid crystals, however, lies in the “activity” of the active matter, which leads to the spontaneous generation/annihilation of these topological defects. In contrast with passive systems, where defects annihilate each other, depending on the strength of the activity and the nature of the system

*Corresponding author: Axel Voigt, Institute of Scientific Computing, TU Dresden, 01062 Dresden; and Center for Systems Biology Dresden (CSBD), Pfotenhauerstr. 108, 01307 Dresden; and Cluster of Excellence – Physics of Life, TU Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany, e-mail: axel.voigt@tu-dresden.de. <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2564-3697>

Dennis Wenzel, Michael Nestler, Sebastian Reuther, Maximilian Simon, Institute of Scientific Computing, TU Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany, e-mail: dennis.wenzel@tu-dresden.de, michael.nestler@tu-dresden.de, sebastian.reuther@tu-dresden.de, maximilian.simon@tu-dresden.de

activity might even lead to turbulence. It has been proposed that $+\frac{1}{2}$ defects in active nematics behave as self-propelled particles and its velocity is proportional to activity [14]. This relation would provide a way to measure activity in such natural materials.

It is becoming evident that these defects also play a biological role. Defects with topological charge $+\frac{1}{2}$ drive the dynamics and have a strong elastic dipole, while the defects with charge $-\frac{1}{2}$ are moved around passively. The motion of defects not only allows to measure activity, it also provides a good way of distinguishing extensile and contractile materials: for extensile systems $+\frac{1}{2}$ defects move towards their “head”, as has been shown experimentally for microtubule (MT) bundles [33], human bronchial epithelial cells [5] and Madine–Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells [34], whereas for contractile systems they move towards their “tail”, which has been observed in experiments on mouse fibroblast cells [12]. In [34] it was found that near $+\frac{1}{2}$ defects the rate of apoptosis of MDCK epithelial cells is higher, due to the presence of isotropic compressive stresses. In contrast, the $-\frac{1}{2}$ defects are characterized by tensile stresses and do not trigger apoptosis. In [17] collective dynamics of cultured murine neural progenitor cells (NPCs) are studied. At high density the cells were capable of forming an aligned pattern. Rapid cell accumulation at $+\frac{1}{2}$ defects and escape from $-\frac{1}{2}$ defects has been identified.

For all these interpretations a robust identification and tracking of defects in microscopy as well as simulation data is essential. We will here focus on these issues and describe physics based as well as neural network based approaches to identify topological defects. The paper is structured as follows: In Section 2 we introduce two essential mathematical models for active nematics. One, a hydrodynamic nematic liquid crystal model with added activity, and the other a collective model of active cells, where their interaction leads to nematic ordering. In Section 3 we focus on $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ topological defects and describe the used algorithms to locate them, to identify the topological charge and to track them over time. In Section 4 results are shown for various examples and similarities and differences between the two models are highlighted. In Section 5 conclusions are drawn.

2 Mathematical Description

We consider two theoretical model systems which lead to active nematics. Both consider a continuous description but they describe different levels of detail. The first model is a coarse-grained model for rod-shaped units based on a Landau–de Gennes Q -tensor theory, e.g., suitable to model MT bundles. The quantities of interest are the fluid variables velocity $\mathbf{V}$ and pressure P and the Q -tensor $\mathbf{Q}$. A Q -tensor is a symmetric and traceless (in our setting) 2-tensor, which models nematic liquid crystals. The second model operates on a smaller length scale and describes each unit individually. We consider a phase field variable to model each unit. The interaction between the units leads to shape deformations and cell elongation. The resulting multi-phase field model is appropriate to model epithelia tissue. The elongation of the cells can also lead to nematic order. We will compare the resulting phenomena of both models. The numerical solution of these systems of partial differential equations is based on finite elements and implemented in AMDiS [37, 40].

2.1 Active Nematodynamics

The model is known from liquid crystal theory as an extension of the Beris–Edwards model [4], which is here supplemented with an additional active stress term and reads (cf. [13])

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \mathbf{V} + (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V} &= -\nabla P + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \Delta \mathbf{V} + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} &= 0, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{Q} + (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{Q} &= \lambda S \mathbf{D} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q} \boldsymbol{\Omega} + \gamma^{-1} \mathbf{H}\end{aligned}$$

with fluid velocity $\mathbf{V}$, pressure P , Landau–de Gennes Q -tensor $\mathbf{Q}$, order parameter S , flow alignment parameter λ , rotational viscosity γ , Reynolds number Re , additional stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^A + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^B$ with active stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^A = \alpha \mathbf{Q}$




Figure 1: Active nematodynamics in square domain: (left) Q-tensor field $\mathbf{Q}$, visualized by the normalized eigenvector of the largest eigenvalue. (right) Streamlines and vorticity $\omega = \text{rot } \mathbf{V}$ of the velocity field $\mathbf{V}$. The used model parameters are $\lambda = 0.1$, $S = 1.133$, $\gamma = 10$, $L = 0.025$, $a = 128.32$, $b = 0$, $c = -200$, $\text{Re} = 1$ as well as $\alpha = 5$. As in [29] we have neglected the term including σ^B in the velocity equation due to the assumption that the active and viscous stresses dominate for the considered parameters.

and an elastic stress $\sigma^B = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Q} - \lambda\mathbf{H}$, the molecular field

$$\mathbf{H} = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_{\text{LDG}}}{\delta \mathbf{Q}} + \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{I} \text{trace} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_{\text{LDG}}}{\delta \mathbf{Q}} \right) = L\Delta \mathbf{Q} - a\mathbf{Q} + b \left(\mathbf{Q}^2 - \frac{1}{3} \text{trace}(\mathbf{Q}^2) \mathbf{I} \right) - c \text{trace}(\mathbf{Q}^2) \mathbf{Q},$$

deformation tensor $\mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{V} + (\nabla \mathbf{V})^T)$ and vorticity tensor $\mathbf{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{V} - (\nabla \mathbf{V})^T)$, with $a, L, a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. The model considers only a one-constant approximation of the Landau–de Gennes energy

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{LDG}} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{L}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{Q}\|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \text{trace } \mathbf{Q}^2 - \frac{2}{3} b \text{trace } \mathbf{Q}^3 + \frac{c}{4} \text{trace } \mathbf{Q}^4 \, d\mathbf{x}$$

(see [2] for more general expressions) and assumes only the simplest possible form of an active stress. However, already this term is sufficient to drive the system out of equilibrium and lead for appropriate parameters to active turbulence. To further reduce the complexity of the model we have neglected the Ericksen stress. Supplemented with initial and boundary conditions the system without activity, $\alpha = 0$, has been analyzed and numerically solved in [1]. We here adapt this numerical approach and solve the system in a square domain in 2D with periodic boundary conditions. Briefly, we consider a semi-implicit Euler discretisation in time with an operator splitting to solve the Navier–Stokes equation and the liquid crystal equation separately. All non-linear terms are linearized using the solution from the old time step. For the Navier–Stokes equation a classical $P^2 - P^1$ Taylor–Hood element is used and the Q-tensor is approximated using P^1 elements. Standard test concerning mesh-independence have been performed. Figure 1 shows a time instant of a simulation. Both the nematic-order parameter and the vorticity $\omega = \text{rot } \mathbf{V}$ are shown. The last indicates active turbulence. It is known that the creation and annihilation of nematic defects (see discussion below) and the formation of vortices in the flow field are related to each other [13].

2.2 Multi-Phase Field Model

For simplicity we consider a modelling approach without hydrodynamics. For hydrodynamic interactions we refer to [23]. The model and numerical approaches to solve it have been introduced in [22, 39]. Modified versions for active and passive systems can be found in [6, 7, 20, 26, 41] and [27], respectively. We model

each cell by a phase field active polar gel model [18] and consider a short-range interaction potential between them. The evolution equations of this multiphase-field model read

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \phi_i + v_0 \nabla \cdot (\phi_i \mathbf{P}_i) &= \gamma \Delta \mu_i, \\ \mu_i &= \frac{1}{\text{Ca}} \left(-\epsilon \Delta \phi_i + \frac{1}{\epsilon} W'(\phi_i) \right) + \frac{1}{\text{Pa}} \left(-\frac{c}{2} \|\mathbf{P}_i\|^2 - \beta \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_i \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\text{In}} \left(B'(\phi_i) \sum_{j \neq i} w(d_j) + w'(d_i) d'_i(\phi_i) \sum_{j \neq i} B(\phi_j) \right), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{P}_i + (v_0 \mathbf{P}_i \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{P}_i &= -\frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{H}_i, \\ \mathbf{H}_i &= \frac{1}{\text{Pa}} (-c \phi_i \mathbf{P}_i + c \|\mathbf{P}_i\|^2 \mathbf{P}_i - \Delta \mathbf{P}_i + \beta \nabla \phi_i)\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ phase field variables ϕ_i and polarization fields $\mathbf{P}_i$. The chemical potential $\mu_i = \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \phi_i}$ and $\mathbf{H}_i = \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{P}_i}$ are the variational derivatives of the multiphase-field free energy

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}[\{\mathbf{P}_i\}, \{\phi_i\}] &= \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{\text{Ca}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|\nabla \phi_i\|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} W(\phi_i) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{\text{Pa}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{P}_i\|^2 + \frac{c}{4} \|\mathbf{P}_i\|^2 (-2\phi_i + \|\mathbf{P}_i\|^2) + \beta \mathbf{P}_i \cdot \nabla \phi_i d\mathbf{x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\text{In}} \int_{\Omega} B(\phi_i) \sum_{j \neq i} w(d_j) d\mathbf{x} \right).\end{aligned}$$

The parameters Ca, Pa and In act as weightings between different energy contributions. A classical Ginzburg–Landau function with double-well potential $W(\phi) = \frac{1}{4}(\phi^2 - 1)^2$ and interface thickness ϵ is used with the parameter choice $\epsilon = 0.15$. Furthermore, an energy of Frank–Oseen type describes the dynamics of $\mathbf{P}_i$ with c being the parameter which ensures $\|\mathbf{P}_i\| = 1$ within the cell and 0 outside. The additional coupling energy depending on β penalizes deviations from an orthogonal direction of $\mathbf{P}_i$ at the diffuse interface of ϕ_i , a computational parameter which we have set to $\beta = 0.1$. The interaction term uses

$$B(\phi_i) = \frac{3}{\epsilon \sqrt{2}} W(\phi_i) \approx \delta_{\Gamma_i}$$

as an approximation of the surface delta function for the cell boundary $\Gamma_i = \{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega : \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$ and an approximation of an interaction potential

$$w(d_j) = \exp\left(-\frac{d_j^2}{\epsilon^2}\right)$$

with signed distance function

$$d_j(\phi_j) = -\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \ln\left(\frac{1 + \phi_j(\mathbf{x})}{1 - \phi_j(\mathbf{x})}\right)$$

with respect to the zero-line (cell boundary Γ_j) of ϕ_j . Activity is introduced in the evolution equations by a self-propulsion term, with velocity value v_0 . For a detailed description of the parallel numerical approach we refer to [31]. Briefly, we consider one core for the evolution of each cell and parallel concepts from particle methods to reduce the communication overhead due to cell-cell interaction. The discretisation in time considers a semi-implicit Euler scheme utilizing a sequential operator splitting approach to separately solve for ϕ_i and $\mathbf{P}_i$, and linearisation of the nonlinear terms using the old time step and piecewise linear finite elements in space for each variable. Mesh adaptivity is used to ensure a high resolution at the cell boundaries, a proper resolution within the cells and a coarse mesh outside. Each cell has its own refined mesh. They are coupled using the multimesh concept of AMDiS, see, e.g., [19, 21]. The numerical approach has been shown in [31] to scale with the number of cells for up to 1.000 cells.

Within a postprocessing step we analyze emerging nematic properties by defining for each phase field variable ϕ_i a Q-tensor as in [26] by

$$\mathbf{Q}_i = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{i,11} & Q_{i,12} \\ Q_{i,12} & -Q_{i,11} \end{bmatrix} = \int \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}((\partial_y \phi_i)^2 - (\partial_x \phi_i)^2) & -(\partial_x \phi_i)(\partial_y \phi_i) \\ -(\partial_x \phi_i)(\partial_y \phi_i) & \frac{1}{2}((\partial_x \phi_i)^2 - (\partial_y \phi_i)^2) \end{bmatrix} d\mathbf{x}$$

and obtain a continuous Q-tensor field $\mathbf{Q}$ by interpolating between these tensors in the center of mass in each cell. We want to underline that this definition depends only on the phasefield ϕ_i , i.e., the shape of each




Figure 2: Multi-phase field in square confinement: (Left) Time frame with polarization fields $\mathbf{P}_i$ and isocontours $\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. (Middle) Isocontours $\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and normalized eigenvector of $\mathbf{Q}_i$ corresponding to orientation of largest elongation in center of mass of each cell. (Right) Interpolated Q-tensor field $\mathbf{Q}$, visualized by the normalized eigenvector corresponding to largest elongation with highlighted orientations in center of mass of each cell.

cell. There is no direct relation between $\mathbf{Q}_i$ and $\mathbf{P}_i$. Figure 2 shows a time instant of a simulation with $n = 100$ cells in a square confinement. The zero-level set of each ϕ_i together with the polarization field $\mathbf{P}_i$ is shown, together with the normalized eigenvector of $\mathbf{Q}_i$ and the interpolated Q-tensor field $\mathbf{Q}$.

In the following we will compare properties of this Q-tensor field with the one considered in the coarse-grained nematodynamics model of Section 2.1, shown in Figure 1.

3 Identification and Tracking

3.1 Topological Defects

Topological defects are characterized as degenerated points of $\mathbf{Q}$ for which $Q_{11} = Q_{12} = 0$, which allows an easy detection of the position of a defect. For a nematic liquid crystal in 2D two types of topological defects predominate: comet-like ($+\frac{1}{2}$) and trefoil-like ($-\frac{1}{2}$). The number associated with the topological defects, the winding number or topological charge, is the change in the orientation around the singular points along a full 2π rotation, divided by 2π : for $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ defects the orientation field rotates by $+\pi$ and $-\pi$, respectively, see Figure 3.

3.2 Identification of Defects

We consider two approaches to identify the topological charge of the defects. The first is physics based and considers the sign of $\delta = \frac{\partial Q_{11}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial Q_{12}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Q_{11}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial Q_{12}}{\partial x}$ to distinguish between $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ defects as suggested in [10]. The second treats the task as an image processing problem and uses an artificial neural network (ANN) to identify the defect type. The neural network consists of an input layer with 100 nodes, two hidden layers with 1000 and 200 nodes, respectively, and an output layer with two nodes, one for $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ defects. For every degenerated point of $\mathbf{Q}$, a 10×10 grid, called *kernel*, with the defect located in the center, is computed by interpolation. This kernel data serves as input, see Figure 4. The training data is constructed analytically by specifying boundary conditions for vector fields. We consider 5000 simulated defects for each topological charge. To generate a decent variability, the defect is affected by surrounding defects and noise (white noise added locally on the direction of the vector field). Each data is trained within five epochs with a learning rate of 0.05. Tests on ideal, single affected and multiple combined defect data have been performed leading to identification rates of 96.98 %. More comprehensive network constructions and more extensive training processes would lead to higher precision. Applied to the simulation data, the classification with the ANN




Figure 3: (Left) Schematic description of $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ defects. Locations for half-integer topological defects in the globalized director field from Figure 1 (middle) and Figure 2 (right), $+\frac{1}{2}$ (green), $-\frac{1}{2}$ (purple).




Figure 4: (Left) Principle eigenvectors with defect in the center and kernel grid for interpolation for $+\frac{1}{2}$ (top) and $-\frac{1}{2}$ (bottom) defects. (Right) ANN with kernel grid values as input (100) and defect type as output (2).

yields an identification rate of 99.31 %. Identification is considered with respect to the analytical results or the physics based approach.

Another machine learning approach to identify topological defects in liquid crystals has been considered in [38]. They train and apply ANN on off-lattice Monte Carlo simulations and compare feedforward (F)NN, with a similar setting as in our approach, and recurrent (R)NN. In contrast to our results, only very low identification rates are reported for FNN. One big difference between the approaches exist. In [38] the task of the ANN is to identify position and type of the defects, while the task of our ANN is only the identification of their type. The position is given to the ANN as input, as it follows based on physics as a singular point of the Q-tensor field. This might explain the different performances.

3.3 Tracking of Defects

With known defect positions and type in each time frame we have to connect them from frame to frame. Dozens of software tools have been developed for this task in the context of particle tracking [24]. For a comparison of these methods we refer to [8]. We here use an approach described in [35]. It involves finding a set of associations between the defect locations in subsequent frames such that a cost functional is minimized.

It is based on a particle matching algorithm using a graph theory technique. It allows to consider different defect types and defect appearance and disappearance and is available as a plugin for ImageJ and Fiji (<https://imagej.net/>) [36].

4 Results

4.1 Simulation Data

Using the described tools for defect identification and tracking, we can statistically examine the velocity distribution of topological defects. We compute these data for both models and compare them with experimental data. However, due to a lack of available data for defects in epithelia tissue, we here compare both with data for MT bundles [9]. Similar comparisons, with a higher-order Landau–de Gennes model have been performed in [28]. As both of our models are written in dimensionless units we first rescale our data based on the reported average velocity of $6.6 \mu\text{m s}^{-1}$ in [28]. Figure 5 shows the comparison for both models for $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ defects. We observe an excellent agreement of the experimental data with the active nematodynamics model of Section 2.1. The results also show a significant difference in the velocity distribution between $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ defects; $+\frac{1}{2}$ defects are significantly faster, which again is in agreement with experimental data and theoretical predictions [11]. This difference is still present in the multi-phase field model of Section 2.2, but much less pronounced. The velocity distributions for $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ defects are almost equal to each other in this model.

We can also analyze the direction of defect movement which is of particular interest for $+\frac{1}{2}$ defects as they possess a clearly distinct “head” and “tail”. It has been investigated before, e.g., in [14], that the direction of movement reflects the type of stress imposed on the surrounding, i.e., whether the system is contractile or extensile. In the active nematodynamics model $+\frac{1}{2}$ defects move in the direction of the “tail” of the defect, indicating contractile systems. The direction of movement can be tuned by the sign of α in the active stress. For the multi-phase field model, this is less obvious, as the defects are a secondary effect and not directly related to movement. However, also in these systems contractile behaviour is most common, which again is in agreement with experimental measurements [34].

Another example which shows qualitative agreement with experimental data is achieved by considering the multi-phase field model described in Section 2.2 with 100 cells in a square confinement. Within a certain activity range this leads to oscillations in the cell movements, strongly influenced by the confinement size in relation to individual cell size. These oscillations are in qualitative agreement with results on microscopy images of human keratinocytes (HaCaT cells) in similar confinements, see [30]. Figure 6 visualizes the trajectories of the centers of mass for some cells and compares them with the experimental data.

4.2 Experimental Data

The same methodology to identify, classify and track defects is applicable to experimental data. Instead of the numerical solution it just requires an image processing step to map microscopy images to Q-tensor fields. For epithelia tissue this requires a classical segmentation problem to identify each cell, which then can be represented by a phase field variable, which can be processed as in Section 2. For filamentous particles, e.g., MT bundles the directional field has to be estimated. This can be done by computing a gradient vector on the gray-scale image for each pixel and appropriately averaging by first doubling the angle and squaring the length to account for the head-tail symmetry. In [3] it is shown that this approach is equivalent to a principal component analysis and robustly leads to directional fields $\mathbf{n}$. The Q-tensor field can then be computed by $\mathbf{Q} = S(\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I})$ with S the scalar-order parameter, encoding the degree of alignment with the average direction and $\mathbf{I}$ the identity matrix. Detailed comparisons on defects between experimental and simulation data will be done elsewhere based on the proposed algorithms.




Figure 5: Velocity distribution of topological defects in the active nematodynamic (top) and multi-phase field (bottom) model, both in comparison with experimental data from [9] for $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ defects. The data is based on 500 time frames for both models.




Figure 6: Oscillations: (Left) Isocontours $\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for the multi-phase field model. (Right) Microscopy images of HuCaT cells in square confinement, taken from [30, Figure 1]. Both images additionally show the trajectories of the centers of mass for certain cells over some time period, highlighting the sustained oscillations of cells.

5 Conclusion

We here provide reliable and robust data analysis tools to identify, classify and track topological defects in simulation and microscopy data. We thereby concentrate on $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ defects in active nematics. However, the tools can easily be adapted to other defects, e.g., ± 1 defects in polar system. We consider both, physics based approaches as well as ANN, to classify the defects. Especially if applied to microscopy data, we see advantages of the ANN approach to deal with noise. Here we only consider simulation data for two prototypical models, an active nematodynamics model, e.g., applicable to model MT bundles, and a multi-phase field model to simulate epithelia cell sheets. Qualitative properties and statistical data on defect velocities are computed and compared with experimental results from the literature. Detailed quantitative comparisons will be done elsewhere.

Funding: Axel Voigt acknowledges financial support from DFG through FOR3013. The work was also supported by the Sino-German Science Center on the occasion of the Chinese–German Workshop on Computational and Applied Mathematics in Kiel 2019. We further acknowledge computing resources provided by JSC under grant HDR06 and ZIH/TU Dresden.

References

- [1] H. Abels, G. Dolzmann and Y. Liu, Well-posedness of a fully coupled Navier–Stokes/Q-tensor system with inhomogeneous boundary data, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **46** (2014), no. 4, 3050–3077.
- [2] J. M. Ball and A. Majumdar, Nematic liquid crystals: From Maier-Saupe to a continuum theory, *Molec. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* **525** (2010), 1–11.
- [3] A. M. Bazan and S. H. Gerez, Systematic methods for the computation of the directional fields and singular points of fingerprints, *IEEE Trans. Patt. Anal. Mach. Intell.* **24** (2002), 905–919.
- [4] A. N. Beris and B. J. Edwards, *Thermodynamics of Flowing Systems with Internal Microstructure*, Oxford Eng. Sci. Ser. 36, The Clarendon Press, New York, 1994.
- [5] C. Blanch-Mercader, V. Yashunsky, S. Garcia, G. Duclos, L. Giomi and P. Silberzan, Turbulent dynamics of epithelial cell cultures, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018), Article ID 208101.
- [6] B. A. Camley and W.-J. Rappel, Physical models of collective cell motility: From cell to tissue, *J. Phys. D* **50** (2017), Article ID 113002.
- [7] B. A. Camley, Y. Zhang, B. Zhao, Y. and Li, E. Ben-Jacob, H. Levine and W.-J. Rappel, Polarity mechanisms such as contact inhibition of locomotion regulate persistent rotational motion of mammalian cells on micropatterns, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **111** (2014), 14770–14775.
- [8] N. Chenouard and et al., Objective comparison of particle tracking methods, *Nature Meth.* **11** (2014), 281–290.
- [9] S. J. DeCamp, G. S. Redner, A. Baskaran, M. F. Hagan and Z. Dogic, Orientational order of motile defects in active nematics, *Nature Mat.* **14** (2015), no. 11, 1110–1115.
- [10] T. Delmarcelle and L. Hesselink, The topology of symmetric, second-order tensor fields, in: *Proceedings Visualization '94*, IEEE Press, Piscataway (1994), 140–147.
- [11] A. Doostmohammadi, J. Ignes-Mullol, J. M. Yeomans and F. Sagues, Active nematics, *Nature Comm.* **9** (2018), Article ID 3246.
- [12] G. Duclos, C. Erlenkamper, J.-F. Joanny and P. Silberzan, Topological defects in confined populations of spindle-shaped cells, *Nature Phys.* **13** (2017), 58–62.
- [13] L. Giomi, Geometry and topology of turbulence in active nematics, *Phys. Rev. X* **5** (2015), Article ID 031003.
- [14] L. Giomi, M. J. Bowick, P. Mishra, R. Sknepnek and M. C. Marchetti, Defect dynamics in active nematics, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A* **372** (2014), Article ID 20130365.
- [15] J.-F. Joanny and S. Ramaswamy, A drop of active matter, *J. Fluid Mech.* **705** (2012), 46–57.
- [16] F. Jülicher, S. W. Grill and G. Salbreux, Hydrodynamic theory of active matter, *Rep. Progr. Phys.* **81** (2018), no. 7, Article ID 076601.
- [17] K. Kawaguchi, R. Kageyama and M. Sano, Topological defects control collective dynamics in neural progenitor cell cultures, *Nature* **545** (2017), 327–331.
- [18] K. Kruse, J. F. Joanny, F. Jülicher, J. Prost and K. Sekimoto, Asters, vortices, and rotating spirals in active gels of polar filaments, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92** (2004), Article ID 078101.
- [19] S. Ling, W. Marth, S. Praetorius and A. Voigt, An adaptive finite element multi-mesh approach for interacting deformable objects in flow, *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.* **16** (2016), no. 3, 475–484.
- [20] J. Loeber, F. Ziebert and I. S. Aranson, Collisions of deformable cells lead to collective migration, *Sci. Rep.* **5** (2015), Article ID 9172.
- [21] W. Marth, S. Aland and A. Voigt, Margination of white blood cells: A computational approach by a hydrodynamic phase field model, *J. Fluid Mech.* **790** (2016), 389–406.
- [22] W. Marth, S. Praetorius and A. Voigt, A mechanism for cell motility by active polar gels, *J. Roy. Soc. Interf.* **12** (2015), Article ID 20150161.
- [23] W. Marth and A. Voigt, Collective migration under hydrodynamic interactions: A computational approach, *Interf. Focus* **6** (2016), no. 5, Article ID 20160037.
- [24] E. Meijering, O. Dzyubachyk and I. Smal, Methods for cell and particle tracking, *Methods Enzymol.* **504** (2012), 183–200.
- [25] A. M. Menzel, Tuned, driven, and active soft matter, *Phys. Rep.* **554** (2015), 1–45.
- [26] R. Mueller, J. M. Yeomans and A. Doostmohammadi, Emergence of active nematic behavior in monolayers of isotropic cells, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019), Article ID 048004.
- [27] M. Nonomura, Study on multicellular systems using a phase field model, *PLoS ONE* **7** (2012), Article ID e33501.
- [28] A. U. Oza and J. Dunkel, Antipolar ordering of topological defects in active liquid crystals, *New J. Phys.* **18** (2016), no. 9, Article ID 093006.
- [29] D. J. G. Pearce, P. W. Ellis, A. Fernandez-Nieves and L. Giomi, Geometrical control of active turbulence in curved topographies, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019), Article ID 168002.
- [30] G. Peyret, R. Mueller, J. d'Alessandro, S. Begnaud, P. Marcq, R.-M. Mege, J. M. Yeomans, A. Doostmohammadi and B. Ladoux, Sustained oscillations of epithelial cell sheets, *Biophys. J.* **117** (2019), 464–478.
- [31] S. Praetorius and A. Voigt, Collective cell behavior – A cell-based parallelization approach for a phase field active polar gel model, in: *Proceedings of the 9th NIC Symposium*, John von Neumann Institute for Computing, Jülich (2018), 369–376.

- [32] J. Prost, F. Jülicher and J.-F. Joanny, Active gel physics, *Nature Phys.* **11** (2015), 111–117.
- [33] T. Sanchez, D. T. N. Chen, S. J. DeCamp, N. Heymann and Z. Dogic, Spontaneous motion in hierarchically assembled active matter, *Nature* **491** (2012), 431–434.
- [34] T. B. Saw, A. Doostmohammadi, V. Nier, L. Kocgozlu, S. Thampi, Y. Toyama, P. Marcq, C. T. Lim, J. M. Yeomans and B. Ladoux, Topological defects in epithelia govern cell death and extrusion, *Nature* **544** (2017), 212–216.
- [35] I. F. Sbalzarini and P. Koumoutsakos, Feature point tracking and trajectory analysis for video imaging in cell biology, *J. Struc. Bio.* **151** (2005), 182–195.
- [36] J. Schindelin and et al., Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis, *Nature Meth.* **9** (2012), 676–682.
- [37] S. Vey and A. Voigt, AMDiS: Adaptive multidimensional simulations, *Comput. Vis. Sci.* **10** (2007), no. 1, 57–67.
- [38] M. Waltters, Q. Wi and J. Y. Chen, Machine learning topological defects of confined liquid crystals in two dimensions, *Phys. Rev. E* **99** (2019), Article ID 062701.
- [39] D. Wenzel, S. Praetorius and A. Voigt, Topological and geometrical quantities in active cellular structures, *J. Chem. Phys.* **150** (2019), Article ID 164108.
- [40] T. Witkowski, S. Ling, S. Praetorius and A. Voigt, Software concepts and numerical algorithms for a scalable adaptive parallel finite element method, *Adv. Comput. Math.* **41** (2015), no. 6, 1145–1177.
- [41] F. Ziebert, S. Swaminathan and I. S. Aranson, Model for self-polarization and motility of keratocyte fragments, *J. R. Soc. Interface* **9** (2012), 1084–1092.